Questions for Consideration

1-4 – Introduction and Methodology

Q1. Are the evidence base documents that have been used to identify the potential sites and boundaries appropriate?

Q2. Is there any topic that you feel would require further evidence or investigation?

Q3. Are the proposed criteria for assessing sites the best approach? If not, please indicate what changes you would like to be made, including reference to the specific criterion.

5 - Residential

Q4. Which potential housing site or sites (or which part of a site or sites) shown on the map would you prefer to see developed for housing in the future? (Please state the site reference number(s)).

Q5. Are there any further housing sites that are not on the list that should be considered suitable? (Please provide justification)

Q6. Should any of the identified sites be considered for alternative uses?

6 - Employment

Q7. Do the Established Business Areas cover appropriate areas suitable to meet the requirement of 79 hectares of employment land for employment uses (B1, B2 and B8 uses)?

Q8. Are there other areas in the borough that should be identified as Established Business Area with the potential to cater primarily for employment uses (B1, B2 and B8 uses)?

Q9. Are the boundaries for the Established Business Area correct, do any require adjustment?

Q10. Do any potential employment sites require a specific allocation?

7 – Centres and Retail

Q11. Is the Chesterfield Town Centre boundaries correct?

Q12. Should Cavendish Street/Stephenson Place be a primary retail area or secondary retail area?

Q13. Should Corporation Street be a secondary retail area or identified in some other way?
Q14. Are there any additional areas that should be included (eg: health facilities to rear of Saltergate, St Helen's House)?

Q15. Should any residential areas be included?

Q16. Should Chesterfield Town Centre be expanded to include the railway station?

Q17. Should the Town Centre Allocation Sites reflect the proposals within the Chesterfield Town Centre Masterplan, are there any alternatives uses for these sites?

Q18. What uses would be the most appropriate for the Town Wall Mixed Use Area?

Q19. Is there a need for a separate policy for the Market Place and its surroundings which will encourage improvements to the public realm which will support a diverse mix of town centre uses

Q20. Should the town centre include West Bars or should this form part of Chatsworth Road District Centre, or should it be considered as a 'standalone' local centre?

Q21. Should we place a ‘restraint’ policy on the area of Church Walk, Church Way, Corporation St., Elder Way, Holywell St., Knifesmithgate, part of Saltergate, St. Mary's Gate and Stephenson Place, to limit the number of Bars, Restaurants and Fast Food takeaways, to complement the existing licensing authority’s Cumulative Impact policy zone that applies to the issues of licences for alcohol sales?

Q22. Do the identified Town, District, Local Service and Local Centres cover the correct areas?

Q23. Should any of the boundaries be expanded further or reduced?

Q24. Do the Primary and Secondary Frontages designations cover the appropriate areas?

Q25. Will the designation of Primary and Secondary frontages affect the vitality and viability of any of the town and district centres?

Q26. Do you think the identified Retail Parks are suitably located to be identified as Retail Parks?

Q27. Do you think there are any other existing Retail Parks that have not been considered and included?
8 – Regeneration Priority Areas

Q28. Are the boundaries identified for the six Regeneration Priority Areas appropriate?

Q29. Should the areas be expanded further or reduced in any way? (Please provide justification)

Q30. In the areas identified are there particular planning measures or interventions which could be considered amongst the regeneration priorities for each of the areas? (Put another way, what would you like the outcomes and benefits of development to be on each of the regeneration priority area?)

Q31. Is this the correct approach to take with complex sites?

9 – Complex Development Allocations

Q32. What potential uses or interventions could be suitable for Clayton Street?

Q33. Are there any other sites in the borough that could be classed as a ‘complex development allocation site’?

10 – Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity

Q34. Which areas in the borough could be seen as being part of an Ecological Network?

Q35. Are the boundaries of the identified Green Wedges and Strategic Gaps appropriately drawn?

Q36. Are there any further areas of Chesterfield Borough that would require the designation of a Green Wedge or Strategic Gap either now or in the future as part of a review?

Q37. Do you think the canal and river corridor boundaries are drawn appropriately, if not why?

Q38. Is it appropriate to continue to protect all the identified Borough and Community Parks?

Q39. Are there any other potential Borough and Community Parks within the borough that has not been identified?

Q40. Are there any allotments and smallholdings within the borough that are not listed in table 30?

Q41. Are there any parts of the borough where you consider new allotments should be provided?
Q42. Do you think that the allotments listed in table 30 should be used for another type of open space use? Should another type of use be put forward, if so why?

Q43. Do you think there are any other sites in the borough that should be considered as a Local Wildlife Site?

Q44. Should any of the existing Local Wildlife Sites, which are not also identified as a Local Nature Reserves, be considered for designation as Local Nature Reserves?

Q45. Can you identify any additional sites within the borough that could act as priority areas for new tree, woodland or hedgerow planting?

Q46. Have all of the borough’s public or private playing fields and sports pitches been identified?

Q47. Should all of the borough’s public or private playing fields and school pitches continue to be protected?

Q48. Do you think we should continue to protect all or part of the above sites in Table 36?

Q49. Are there any other areas where you think there should be play areas or open space?

Q50. Are there any areas within Chesterfield borough that are suitable for designation as a Local Green Space in line with the NPPF (para 10.29)? (Please provide justification)

Q51. Does the submitted evidence support Sheepbridge Fields application as a potential Local Green Space designation. (see Appendix 2)

11 - Green Belt

Q52. Which of the options highlighted in Para 11.8 is the most appropriated approach to take to the Green Belt at Land East of Staveley?

Q53. Is it appropriate for Land North of Dunston to be considered as an area of ‘safeguarded land’, which will satisfy long-term development needs well beyond the plan period?

12 – Infrastructure – Safeguarding Sites

Q54. Are there any further sites that are required to be safeguarded for infrastructure requirements in the future?
13 – Renewable Energy

Q55. Are there any reasons why any of the identified Hydro Opportunity Sites are unsuitable for the possible generation of hydro-power?

Q56. Are the areas of search appropriately defined?

Q57. Should the council consider allocating a specific site for a wind farm or turbines within the Sites and Boundaries DPD?

14 – Historic Environment

Q58. Does the Chesterfield Town Centre Historic Core cover an appropriate area?

15 - Transport

Q59. Is it appropriate to continue to safeguard land for the transport schemes highlighted in Table 43?

Q60. Should any of the transport schemes consider different alignments?

Q61. Are there further routes or links which would improve the strategic cycling network and are practically achievable?

Q62. Are there further routes or links which would improve the network of walking routes and are practically achievable?

16 – Place-Shaping Policies

Q63. Are the boundaries identified appropriate to the five Place-Shaping Areas?

18 – Other Requirements

Q64. Are there are further sites or boundaries that have not previously been identified within the Issues and Option document that should be considered for inclusion within the Sites and Boundaries DPD?